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Abstract: Microsimulation was introduced over four decades ago and has experienced somewhat of a revival in the social sciences lately. As a result many microsimulation models currently exist with a wide range of specialities. The Spatial Modelling Centre in Kiruna, Sweden, has contributed to this field by constructing a spatial dynamic microsimulation model, called SVERIGE or System for Visualising Economic and Regional Influences in Governing the Environment. This model is unique; it is the first national level spatial model of such kind, which makes it possible to analyse the spatial consequences of various public policies. The “space” in spatial microsimulation is achieved by incorporating regional attributes in modelling the various socio-economic modules of the model and constructing from scratch a module for modelling internal migration in Sweden. Furthermore, the results of the model can be illustrated via maps and Geographic Information Systems. This paper describes the effort in building the model and its different components.
1.0 Introduction

Microsimulation was introduced over four decades ago by Orcutt (1957) and has experienced somewhat of a revival in the social sciences over the past decade (Merz, 1991; Graham, 1996; Isard et al., 1998). It has been used to study various social phenomena such as population growth and development, the effect of ageing and pension formulas on social insurance funding, and the effect of various tax regimes on fiscal budgeting.

The spatial dynamic microsimulation model (called SVERIGE or System for Visualising Economic and Regional Influences Governing the Environment) built at the Spatial Modelling Centre in Kiruna, Sweden, is unique. It is the first national-level spatial microsimulation model to be constructed, thereby permitting analysts to study the spatial consequences of various national, regional, and local-level public policies. Assisting the model building effort is a unique database comprising longitudinal socio-economic information on every resident of Sweden for the years 1985 to 1995. The locations of the individuals in this database are given in co-ordinates accurate to the level of 100 metres. It is, therefore, possible to estimate behavioural equations on various geographical scales and to describe complex dynamic spatial relationships.

This paper describes efforts in building the model and explains the different components of SVERIGE. The paper is divided into two sections. The first section describes the model’s history and its unique characteristics. The second section depicts the model structure in detail.

2.0 Model history and unique characteristics

SVERIGE is a dynamic spatial microsimulation model for Sweden based on households. It generates events for individuals through the interplay of deterministic models of individual behaviour and a Monte Carlo simulation. The behaviours are functions of individual, household, and regional socio-economic characteristics, usually included as independent variables in discrete choice models or simply as categories used to estimate transition matrices that describe the probability of moving from one state to another. The model is dynamic as the evolution and development of the individuals occurs in chronological order, with initial conditions being changed for subsequent periods by counters and simulation.

The model core is based upon CORSIM (Cornell Microsimulation Model) (Caldwell et al. 1998), which itself is a modification of Guy Orcutt’s DYNASIM (Dynamic Microsimulation Model), the first dynamic microsimulation model (Caldwell and Keister 1996). CORSIM has since sired other children as well, including a Canadian model named DYNACAN (Dynamic Microsimulation Model for Canada) (Morrison 1997). Both models are North American.

SVERIGE differs in several important respects from CORSIM and DYNACAN. First, SVERIGE is a Swedish model and thus explains behaviour in a different institutional context. Although it is based on the same social science as the others, differences in cultural and institutional peculiarities were too big to be ignored. Examples of such differences are the power relations between men and women, the degree of class equity, the elaborateness of social support mechanisms, and the diverse types of recognised family groupings (e.g., marriage versus cohabitation, referred to as “Sambo”). As these define the social context in which individual decisions are made and constrain the ways in which microactors interact, the equations used in SVERIGE are different but the life-cycle modular structure is the same as CORSIM. Figure 2.1 illustrates this modular structure.

Second, SVERIGE is a spatial model while CORSIM is not. In fact, SVERIGE is the first national-level spatial microsimulation model. SVERIGE not only makes life-cycle transitions dependent on a spatial context but also models individual spatial transitions such as internal migration. In the terminology of object-oriented programming geographical “objects” such as neighbourhoods and labour markets have attributes that influence the behaviour of “objects” such as individuals, households, and homes (see figure 2.2 and appendix A. for a full listing of objects and their attributes). As a result, the model is capable of generating a variety of geographically detailed reports that may interest regional scientists and policymakers.
Figure 2.1 The SVERIGE Model

Grey shading indicates that the module is operational in SVERIGE v. 1.0.
Figure 2.2 Relations between objects and attributes

Figure 3.1 Pathways for updating object attributes
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other events
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3.0 Structure of the microsimulation model

SVERIGE 1.0 contains 10 modules. Each module consists of a series of discrete or continuous variable equations, transition matrices, or rules that determine the occurrence of specific events in a person's life. When individual events occur, personal attributes are updated. In this version of SVERIGE, all the events occur at yearly intervals.

In SVERIGE there are three ways in which personal attributes can be changed. In the fertility module, for example, when an event is decided for a particular person, the attribute “Gavebirth” is then updated. This is the most common type of change. Changes in attributes can also be triggered by the occurrence of other significant events. For example, if two persons are chosen to cohabit then the attribute “location” of the woman is changed to that of the man. Finally, attributes such as “earnings” are influenced to a large degree by their previous values. These mechanisms are summarised in figure 3.1.

The occurrence of an event is determined by Monte Carlo simulation. Each individual is exposed to the possibility that a certain event will occur based on a simple probability. This probability is estimated from tables or by an estimated logistic regression equation. The latter is a function of personal attributes and/or the attributes of other units (such as partners, households, neighbourhoods, and labour markets). Thus each person at risk of an event is assigned a probability and a random risk. If the probability is greater than the risk, then the event occurs.

At the end of each event, the personal attributes are updated as well as the attributes of various other aggregates such as families, neighbourhood, and regions. As some modules occur before others, the attributes used in estimating the transition probabilities have different time subscripts. The model currently implements these modules in the following order: mortality, fertility, education, employment and earnings, marriage, leaving home, divorce, immigration, emigration, and migration. The equations, counters, and pointers used in the model are summarised in table 3.1 and Appendix A. They are further briefly described in the subheadings that appear below.

3.1 Mortality module

The mortality module is used to terminate lives in the model (Rephann 1999a). There are two sets of mortality equations. The first set is for individuals under the age of 25 years and is estimated as an exponential time trend from historical mortality rates grouped by age and sex. The second set is for individuals between the ages of 25 and 127 years. These equations are logistic with socio-economic attributes used as independent variables. If a person is 127 years or older, his/her life is terminated. The first set is further divided into 12 groups based on sex and age groups of 0-1, 1-4, 5-9, 10-14, 15-19, 20-24. The second set is divided into three age groups: 25-59, 60-74 and 75-127. The groupings were decided based on model fit and regression diagnostic statistics.

This module is very similar in specification to that of CORSIM although different groupings are used. These choices were supported by Himes (1994) who found that the United States has a cause-of-death structure similar to that of Sweden but that the age-specific death rates vary between the two countries. Furthermore, Wallace et al. (1985) note that Sweden has much lower infant mortality rates than the US. Other differences such as the influence of the social support system described by Minder (1991) is recognised by estimating new equations.

The second set of equations was estimated using a sample of 458 154 individuals whose survival was followed for a period of five years. According to epidemiological studies, attributes such as education level, employment status, and marital status influence an individual’s probability of dying in any year.

Note, however, that the variable earnings is not used in equations for the groups of age above 60 years as such people derive their income primarily from pensions. Pension income could not be used in the equations because it is not produced in SVERIGE 1.0.
illustrates the overall structure of this module and the variables used in the equations are shown in equation (2) of table 3.1.

In addition to removing individuals from the simulation, deaths directly triggers both personal and household changes. For instance, when a death occurs, the marital status of the surviving spouse changes from “married” or “sambo” to “widow.” In addition, if the deceased is a single or parents die, then the under-aged children (less than 15 years) are assigned to an orphan queue and allocated to a family in the same labour market region at the end of the year. Children older than 15 years old automatically become a heads of household and leave home.

3.2 Fertility module

The primary role of the fertility module is to create new lives for simulation in the microsimulation model (Rephann 1999b). Upon birth, each infant is assigned a sex based on a fixed probability of 0.5134 of being a male. Only woman aged 15 to 44 years old are at risk of giving birth. Equations are estimated for two groups: married and unmarried woman. The group “unmarried” includes singles, sambos, widows, and divorcees. All births produce a single baby.

This module is very similar to that of CORSIM as the fertility equations are simplified versions of the CORSIM ones. They were re-estimated using a Swedish sample of 458 154 individuals and 1990 data. There is some evidence that US and Swedish fertility behaviours are different. For instance, while CORSIM finds employment apparently has an inhibiting effect on American fertility decisions, the opposite has been observed in Sweden (Hoem and Hoem 1997; Sundstrom and Stafford 1992). The influence of earnings (Tasiran 1995) and spacing between births (Hoem 1995) are also different. It was, however, decided to maintain the same structure as CORSIM because these factors are addressed in the estimation.

Fertility behaviours in SVERIGE are influenced directly by individual and household attributes such as employment, marital status, age, family earnings, and education. Figure A.2 gives a broad overview of the structure of the model and equation (1) in table 3.1 shows the variables used.

3.3 Education

This module was re-thought completely because the education system in Sweden is different from the US (Rephann 1999c). Compulsory school is called Grundskola and consists of nine years of basic preparation. Ninety percent of the students advance to the next stage, Gymnasium. This level offers vocational and college preparatory programs and typically takes three years to complete. After Gymnasium comes college (called Högskola) for around twenty-five percent of Swedish gymnasium students. A typical undergraduate school at Högskola lasts three years. Graduate or professional school follows college or university. Students in higher education receive free tuition and monthly stipends for covering monthly expenses and are eligible for student loans. There is also an adult education program called Komvux, which makes up approximately 16% of the student population.

SVERIGE uses a series of logistic regression equations to determine completion of Grundskola, completion of Gymnasium, entry to Komvux, persistence through Komvux, entry to Högskola, persistence through Högskola, entry to graduate school and persistence through graduate school. The probability to enter gymnasium was kept constant as a value of 0.9. The equations were estimated using data extracted from the SMC database for the years 1993-1995. As no information on graduation was available, this was assumed to occur when the educational level of a person changes. Only full-time students are modelled, but both traditional and adult students are eligible to participate. At any time, students may be selected to discontinue education but they are eligible to rejoin education later on. Note that the boys usually start university one year later because of compulsory military service.

variables were not used for the group age 75 years and above because this variable is missing from the database for elderly individuals.
Much research has been done on the influence of different factors on educational achievement. Family attributes appear to play a dominant role as pointed out by Haveman and Wolfe (1995). They also pointed out that educational levels of mothers have greater effects than those of the fathers. Other important indicators are parental income and class status, divorce and family dissolution; and size and composition of the family (Lichter et al., 1993). Equations (9)-(11) show the variables used in the module and Figures A.3 and A.4 show the overall structure of this module.

3.4 Employment and earnings

The basic structure of this module is derived from other microsimulation models such as CORSIM (Caldwell 1997), DYNACAN (Chenard 1996) and MICROHUS (Klevmarken). Its aim is to estimate the amount of time each individual between the ages of 16 and 65 is employed during the year and his/her wage rate (Alfredsson and Åström 1998).

The module consists currently of four sub-modules, sequentially structured as illustrated in Figure A.5. Initially, a logistic regression equation determines the likelihood that a given individual is employed at all during the year. For those who are simulated as being employed, the next two sub-modules determine the amount of weeks worked utilising a logistic regression equation to determine full-time workers and a transition matrix to determine the number of weeks worked by part-time workers. The final module estimates the average relative wage rate (the ratio of wage rate to average wage rate) for each employed individual. Annual earnings are computed as the product of weeks worked and wage rate. The variables in these modules are summarised in equations (12)-(14) in Table 3.1.

For estimating all four equations the HINK\(^2\) database for the year 1995 (SCB 1995) was used. Because the HINK data used is cross-sectional rather than time-series, it was necessary to link the cross-section with the comprehensive micro database at SMC in order to obtain lagged income and employment used in the models.

The employment equation for the first sub-module is estimated for three groups: 16-20 years, 21-53 and 54-56. These groupings were decided in order to select groups of individuals who are relatively homogeneous in terms of participation rates and to account for non-linearity in the response to age. Although there is a clear regional disparity in unemployment rates, with higher unemployment occurring in regions away from the three largest metropolitan areas (Borgegård and Lundin 1993), no regional variables were found to be significant. Another major omission is the unemployment history or duration of the individual, which according to Sheehan (1998) is strongly negatively correlated with finding employment. This is not available in either SMC database outside census years or the HINK sample.

The second sub-module uses two groups, males and females, because the average workload varies by gender. For example, on average 84% of men work full-time whereas only 52% of women work full time. Furthermore, some variables such as the presence of a child age 0-6 in the household affects male and female workers differently. Two geographic variables were significant: unemployment rate in labour market region and distance of place of residence to centre of labour market. The latter variable was statistically significant only for women, and had a negative coefficient.

The third module assigns the number of weeks worked (0-48) within a year because it was impossible to predict weeks worked satisfactorily using logistic regressions. Note that this distribution has three nodes.

The fourth sub-module estimates the logarithm of relative wage rate per month. The relative wage rate is defined as the ratio of the wage rate to the average wage rate for everyone in the age group 16-65 working in Sweden. There are two groups in this sub-module: full-time workers and part-time workers. This dichotomy was used because part-timers earn less on average for a number of reasons. For instance, part-time employment is mostly prevalent in lower paying trade and service sectors. However, variables such as occupation or employment sector are not modelled in SVERIGE 1.0.

\(^2\) The aims of the HINK survey is to map the disposable income distribution among households and also shed light on income structures and distribution in
3.5 Cohabitation and marriage

According to a survey conducted by Statistics Sweden (SCB 1995) the factors that influence the likelihood of starting a relationship differ between teenagers and adults. For example, the propensity to start a relationship in the teens is highest for those who have many brothers and sisters, those who did not grow up with both parents, those with blue collar parents, those with non-religious parents, and those who are pregnant or are studying. Propensity to form a relationship during young adulthood (age of 20-28 years) depends on the same personal attributes such as pregnancy or student status, but only the profession of the father matters and coming from a two parent household matters only for males. In another survey (SCB 1994), it was found that the tendency to start a relationship depends on educational level.

Every year around 90 000 new families are formed. Among these, 40% of them have experience from earlier relationships. Many couples separate when they are young, increasing the number of people living alone but also increasing the pool of people who are eligible to form new relationships. More than half of those who separated start a new relationship within 2-3 years. For women, the childless ones are more likely to start all over again. Women who grew up in large cities have a lower propensity to start a new relationship than those who grew up in smaller cities or in the countryside. For men, the pattern is opposite.

The marriage module creates common-law marriage (sambo) for selected unmarried individuals over the age fifteen and Christian marriage partners for sambo couples. The module consists of three sub-modules. The first sub-module (sambo decision) determines whether a person is eligible for sambo or not (Esko 1999a). The second sub-module (cohabitation compatibility) computes an index of compatibility for pairs of eligible singles based on their attributes and matches pairs on the basis of the compatibility index using a heuristic matching algorithm (Esko 1999b). The final sub-module (marriage decision) determines whether cohabiting individuals will get married (Esko 1999c).

The first sub-module produces a pool of suitors that are going to form a sambo relationship. This is determined using a logistic regression with the variables described in the previous paragraphs. The second sub-module matches them into couples. This process can be computer intensive and it is important to reduce the number of computations. In CORSIM, each man is compared to every woman and the number of computations required is FM where F is the number of females in the pool and M is the number of males in the pool. However, because it is computationally burdensome an alternative routine was adopted here. Each woman is assigned an index based on her age, education level and country of birth. The indexes are then sorted from highest to lowest. A man is then drawn at random from the pool and an index is computed using lookup tables. The two indexes are compared and the nearest available woman is found.

The final module determines whether the sambo couple will marry or continue cohabiting. In Sweden it is very uncommon for people who move together to marry immediately soon after. Marriage usually happens after a long period of cohabitation. Couples who marry directly make up less than 5% of the total couples moving together in a given year. Because of this fact, only cohabiting couples are eligible to marry in the model. Seven groups are used: couples without children (age groups 15-32, 33-45, 46-127), couples with children (age groups 15-30, 31-45, 46-127) and couples in which at least one spouse immigrated in 1990 (age group 15-127). These groupings were chosen based on empirical studies that show the propensity to marry based on age, immigration status, and whether or not the couple has children, varies. Although empirical studies show big regional differences in the propensity for sambo pairs to get married, initial attempts at finding an appropriate explanatory regional variable have not been successful.
An overall schematic description of the module is given in Figures A.6 and A.7. Cohabitation and marriage may require several adjustments in personal and household attributes, including change in marital status (from “single,” “widowed,” or “divorced,” to “cohabiting” or “married”), adjustment of household earnings for two-income households, and aggregation of children. Moreover, cohabitation triggers the movement of female partners to the male partner’s home.

### 3.6 Leaving home

Almost all young people will sooner or later leave home to form their own household. At the age of 16, young Swedes leave elementary school (Grundskola), which is compulsory, and have the option of enrolling in Gymnasium or beginning employment. Only a small percentage will actually leave home at the age of 16 or earlier. A small number of studies have looked at the factors that influence an individual to leave the parental home to form a new household. One finding is that girls tend to leave home earlier than boys. According to Fransson (1997), the housing market is the most important factor because lack of small and inexpensive apartments can hinder youngsters from leaving home. Unfortunately, because a housing module has not been constructed for SVERIGE 1.0, this cannot be modelled.

The leaving home module determines whether a person will leave the parental home to start a new household (Öhman, 1999). They are eligible to leave home, beginning with the age of 16. If someone has still not left home, then he or she is forced to do so at the age of 30. This module follows closely the leaving home module of CORSIM.

Logistic regression equations are estimated using two cohorts. The cohorts consist of individuals born in 1968 (128 500 individuals) and 1973 (118 197 individuals) and they are extracted from the SMC database. These two cohorts were pooled for estimating the regression equations. The dependent variable was whether an individual left home in a given year, pooling the data for the entire period. Sometimes, an individual, who has already left home, moves back into the parental household. This occurrence was common in the early 1990’s because of the economic recession. Because this amounted to less than 3%, their eventual moving from home is considered as if it were the first. No groupings were used in this module and they was believed to be unnecessary.

The variables used in this module are shown in equation (8) in Table 3.1. The overall structure of the module is illustrated in Figure A.8.

### 3.7 Divorce

At the beginning of this century divorces were rare but they have now become commonplace. In 1991 there were approximately 370 000 sambo pairs and the separation frequency was 79 per 1000. For married couples the frequency is much lower, only 14 per 1000.

The divorce module dissolves sambo and marital relationships (Esko 1999d). Seven groups are used: couples without children (age groups 15-20, 21-30, 31-127), couples with children (age groups 15-20, 21-30, 31-127) and couples in which one spouse immigrated in 1990 (age group 15-127), where the age group refers to age of the female spouse. These groupings were based on empirical studies with the marriage sub-module. The variables used in this module are illustrated in equation (6) Table 3.1.

A schematic diagram illustrating the structure of the model is given in Figure A.9. Divorce results in persons being assigned new marital statuses (from “married” to “divorced” or from “sambo” to “single”) and makes them eligible for remarriage. Also, it triggers a number of other microsimulation events, including movement of the former husband out of the marital dwelling, re-allocation of minor children to each new household, and de-coupling of household earnings. Currently, minor children are assigned to the female partner.

### 3.8 Immigration

In 1954 Sweden signed the Geneva conventions on refugees, and with its Nordic neighbours, entered into a common labour market, which allowed unhindered movement within
Scandinavia (Lundh and Olsson 1994). As a consequence of Sweden’s enormous industrial success, many Finnish citizens flocked to its industrial regions (Häggstrom et al. 1990). This was welcomed because labour was in short supply in the industrial communities in central Sweden. Subsequent recruitment of labour from southern Europe, especially Yugoslavia and Greece, but also Italy and Turkey, occurred in a very liberal atmosphere. The distribution of the population, including that of immigrants, was not steered by political instruments but regulated by labour market forces.

In 1967, responding to pressure from the labour union movement, Sweden introduced ‘regulated immigration’ (Lundh and Olsson 1994). The need for labour remained but social changes gave rise to the demand that labour should be recruited mainly from the ranks of married woman, disabled people and the elderly. The introduction of regulated immigration and the establishment of the National Immigration and the Naturalisation Board were manifestations of the change from a non-regulating immigration policy, and set a stop to large-scale immigration (Hammmar 1992). The family-related immigration followed in the footsteps of labour force immigration. The already existing regional patterns were reinforced (Borgegård et al., 1996). From 1984 to 1994, the ‘whole of Sweden strategy’ determined the distribution of the 1980s refugee immigrants over the entire country. The advantage with this policy was that the financial and social burden for individual municipalities was mitigated.

Because the number of refugees that enter the country every year fluctuates due to internal and external economic and political factors, it is very difficult to design a model that will capture changes in the magnitude and settlement patterns of immigrants. As a result this module relies entirely on hard core probabilities and external data. The module is used to create new lives that are derived from outside of Sweden (Vencatasawmy 1999a). In many ways this module resembles very closely that of CORSIM, except for some minor changes, as described below.

Immigrants are clustered into ten groups based on their geographical and cultural origins. Given an initial stock of immigrants, look-up tables of historical probabilities are used to assign the age, sex and marital status of the head of the household. Given these three characteristics, a comparable individual from a pool of immigrants is chosen to clone this new immigrant family. The economic and family characteristics of this clone are thus assigned to the new immigrant household head. The labour market region where the immigrant will settle is then estimated using transition matrix based on immigrant settlement characteristics for the different immigrant groups. The family is then placed into a queue of families looking for residential locations (100 metre square land tracts). They are assigned a particular location in the migration module, which is discussed below.

The household head demographic and labour market settlement transition matrices were estimated using all new immigrants in 1989 and 1990, more than 100 000 immigrants in total. The pool of immigrants used to clone the new ones consist of all immigrants who came to Sweden in 1990 and 1991, 70 000 individuals in total. As 1990 was a census year, it was easier to create families using the data from that year. As we wanted a short history of the immigrants, the years before and after that year were also used. A schematic diagram of module is given in Figure A.10.

3.9 Emigration

This module is entirely new; no counterpart exists in CORSIM. The module determines who will leave Sweden as emigrants. Statistics Sweden defines an emigrant as anyone who intends to settle abroad for at least one year. This same definition was used here (Vencatasawmy 1999b). This module only distinguishes emigrants from non-emigrants. It does not attempt to model the country of destination.

Emigration is a fairly important source of population change in Sweden. The number of emigrants varied from 25 269 in 1985 to 20 673 in 1987 and back up to 25 196 in 1990. In those three years, the number of emigrants with Swedish citizenship was 7 883, 9 044 and 8 957 respectively. On average 1 in every 3 emigrants is a Swede.

Glavac (1998) modelled migration from Australia using two frameworks: one that relies on human capital theory and another that emphasises the role of assimilation and ties to the
origin country. She argued that age, gender, marital status, occupation and number of years since arrival are personal characteristics that affect assimilation and thus directly affect return migration. She observed that, for example, older migrants tend to go back because they have stronger ties to their country of origin. Using a Cox model, she found that sex, age, profession, years since immigration and a number of economic variables are highly significant.

In the absence of similar literature on emigrants in Sweden, a more inductive approach was taken to build this module. Graphs were drawn, contingency tables examined and regression analyses were tested on a variety of variables. Four groups were used, based on this empirical analysis: everyone between the ages of 16 and 21 years; single individuals between the ages of 22 and 58 years; couples for which the head is of age between 22 and 58 years, and everyone of age greater than 59 years. The unit of observation in the third group was the head of household. In the remaining groups the unit of observation was an individual. The logistic regressions were estimated using a sample of 458 844 individuals.

A schematic diagram of the module is given in Figure A.11. The variables used in the module are listed in equation (17) of table 3.1.

3.10 Internal migration

The internal migration module (Vencatasawmy 1999c) is the other module that does not have a counterpart in CORSIM. It makes the microsimulation a fully interregional model that links events in one region with occurrences in another. This migration module tracks the movement of households and individuals to an accuracy of 100 metres. Movement can be either inter-regional or intra-regional. Sweden can be divided into 108 labour market regions where commuting between labour markets is minimised while commuting within labour market region is maximised (Finansdepartementet 1994). A move is called intra-regional when it happens within a labour market and is called inter-regional when it happens between labour markets. In this version emphasis has been given to inter-regional migration. Intra-migration is modelled by heuristic rules.

More than 80% of the change in population size and composition on the community level is related to migration. Therefore, for the microsimulation model to be effective, the real life regional and local migration patterns should be modelled accurately. The design of this module is based on the structure set out by Holm and Malmberg (1997). A description of how this module is designed is given in Vencatasawmy and Swan (1998). One hundred and eight labour market regions were chosen because it was thought that someone living in any region would prefer to commute rather than migrate. The inter-regional migration decision is divided into three stages: Decision to move, choosing a labour market, and choosing a 100-metre square. The reasons for such assumptions reside in the way people make choices and for modelling reasons.

In discriminating between movers and non-movers in stage one, both personal and regional attributes are used. In the second stage only regional attributes are used and in the third stage an attraction measure based on personal characteristics is used. In intra-regional migration, the first two steps are ignored.

For the first step a sample of 458 844 individuals alive in 1990 was used. For the second stage, all individuals who moved between labour markets from 1985 to 1995, were used. This data set contained 2 114 861 individuals. For the first group, nine groups were used based on three age groups and three household characteristics. The former consisted of age groups of 16-21, 22-58 and 59+. The three household characteristics are: single (unmarried, widowed or divorced), households without children (both spouses live together), households with children (spouses can live together or may be separated). Logistic regressions were estimated for this stage. The variables used are depicted in equation (18) of table 3.1.

The second stage is estimated using a conditional logit model also known as the McFadden’s conditional Logit model. When the data consists of choice specific attributes instead of individual-specific characteristics, this is a more appropriate model than the Multinomial logit model. The model is otherwise essentially the same as the multinomial logit (Maddala, 1983). Although this model requires “the independence of irrelevant alternatives (IIA)” which is violated in such studies (Fotheringham, 1991), this model was chosen for its qualities. There
is one equation for each origin as according to Fortheringham (1991) who found a link between the parameter values and the origins in similar studies.

In the third stage squares are allocated to people based on a similarity index. There are 706307 inhabited 100-metre squares in 1990. The variables used to determine the similarity index are: average family size for the residents living on the squares, average earnings for the residents on the squares, average education level of the square inhabitants and average age of inhabitants. The overall structure of the model is given in Figure A.12.

3.11 Future modules (property value, housing, transportation, pollution)

The next version of SVERIGE will include at least four additional modules: housing, property values, transportation, and environmental pollution. The housing module will determine housing tenure choices and match households with specific apartments and single family homes. Also, the existing housing stock will be modified through depreciation, renovation, and new construction. Although the details of the module are not yet available, it should bear some resemblance to current housing microanalytic models such as Fransson (1997), and Oskamp (1997). The housing choice sub-module should eventually replace the crude local mobility sub-module in the internal migration module for identifying local location choices. The property value module, currently under development (Rephann 1998), could determine property values based on hedonic price theory. Each property parcel price is modelled as a function of housing attributes, property features, property location, and labour market demand. The transportation module will determine commuting distances to employment. Initially it will model Euclidean distances, but may eventually take into account varied transportation modes, congestion effects, and traffic assignment. The environmental module will compute levels of airborne emissions, solid waste, and water pollution. Airborne emissions are proportionate to commuting distances and housing size and heating characteristics. Solid waste and water pollution should be determined by household characteristics and regional settlement patterns.
Table 3.1 Determinants of person attributes in SVERIGE version 1.0

1. Gavebirth – (age, maritalstatus, earnings(family), working), sex of new birth is random draw
2. Diedyear – (age, maritalstatus, earnings(family), educlevel, sex, working)
3. Cohabit - (age, children, earnings, educlevel, maritalstatus, sex, working)
4. Married- (age(female partner), age(youngest child), children, children(female partner)(t-yearscohab), maritalstatus, earnings(household), educlevel, (earnings(female partner)-earnings(male partner)), (edlevel(female partner)-edlevel(male partner)), (age(female partner)-age(male partner)), bornregion(female partner), bornregion(male partner))
5. Partner – pointer to partner, mating algorithm(sex, age, educlevel, bornregion)
6. Divorced- (age(female partner), age(child), children, children(female partner)(t-yearscohab), earnings(household), educlevel, maritalstatus, bornregion(female partner), bornregion(male partner))
7. Widowed- spouse diedyear
8. LeaveHome- (age, earnings, educlevel(mother), educlevel(father), sex)
9. Ineducation- (age, earnings(family), educlevel(mother), educlevel(father), maritalstatus, working, bornregion, ineducation(t-1), sex, location)
10. Educlevel- (educlevel(t-1), ineducation)
11. Educsector - exogenous
12. Working- (age(t-1), age(youngest child), children, civilstatus, educlevel, sex, working(t-1), ineducation(t-1), bornregion, yearsinSweden, location)
13. Wkworked- (age(t-1), age(youngest child), children, civilstatus, educlevel, ineducation(t-1), working(t-1), yearsinSweden, bornregion, location)
14. Earnings- (age(t-1), earnings(t-1), educlevel, ineducation, sex, location, wkworked)
15. Bornregion- Exogenous for immigrants or parent’s location for Swedes
16. YearsinSweden- New immigrants or newly born = 0, (YearsSweden(t-1), Emigrateyear)
17. Emigrateyear- (sex, maritalstatus, yearsinSweden, numbermoves, bornregion, working, educlevel, location)
18. Location- (age, age(oldest child), age(youngest child), children, sex, educlevel, yearsinSweden, bornregion, working, earnings(family), timeindwelling, numbermoves, location).
19. Age – Age(t-1)
20. Mother- Natural mother or adoption
21. Father- Natural father
Appendix A. Objects and attribute definitions.

Two types of attributes are listed: “static” (normally “one-ended” attributes like age, sex and income) and relational attributes (like mother or family). The relational attributes connect object instances. Mother is an attribute of a person object, which points at another instance of the same object type. Family is another attribute of an individual, which is a pointer to an instance of another object type: household. Relational attributes are implemented with the help of pointers or references. Their main advantage is that they give access not only to the object but also to all its attributes. For example, the mother’s education could easily be retrieved and used as one determinant of the daughter’s education, employment and income.

The following convention regarding time notation is used. Current year is denoted by $t$, but that time index is often omitted. The year before the current year is denoted by $t-1$ and next year is denoted $t+1$. The new values for the attributes are calculated for the current year.

The person object contains the following properties/attributes:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Values</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ID</td>
<td>Longint</td>
<td>Male, Female</td>
<td>Identifier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>Boolean</td>
<td>Male, Female</td>
<td>Country group, county in Sweden</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bornregion</td>
<td>Byte</td>
<td>0-100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>1900-2100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Byte</td>
<td>0-120</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YearsinSweden</td>
<td>Byte</td>
<td>0-120</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educlevel</td>
<td>Byte</td>
<td>0-7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educsector</td>
<td>Integer</td>
<td>SUN 3-digit</td>
<td>Educational discipline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ineducation</td>
<td>Boolean</td>
<td>Yes/no</td>
<td>Enrolled in school or university</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Working</td>
<td>Boolean</td>
<td>Yes/no</td>
<td>Employed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wkworked</td>
<td>Integer</td>
<td>0-52</td>
<td>Weeks employed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unemployed</td>
<td>Integer</td>
<td>0-52</td>
<td>Weeks unemployed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outoflabour</td>
<td>Integer</td>
<td>0-52</td>
<td>Weeks out of labour force</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timeoutoflab</td>
<td>Integer</td>
<td>0-50</td>
<td>Years not at all in labour force</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workplace</td>
<td>Workplace</td>
<td>Pointer</td>
<td>Pointer to workplace</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earnings</td>
<td>Integer</td>
<td>100 SEK</td>
<td>Annual earnings from employment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Father</td>
<td>Person</td>
<td>Pointer</td>
<td>Pointer to father or adopted father</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mother</td>
<td>Person</td>
<td>Pointer</td>
<td>Pointer to mother of adopted mother</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partner</td>
<td>Person</td>
<td>Pointer</td>
<td>Pointer to partner/wife/husband</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child</td>
<td>Person</td>
<td>Pointer</td>
<td>Pointer to child</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yearssplit</td>
<td>Byte</td>
<td>0-100</td>
<td>Years since split or divorce</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yearswidow</td>
<td>Byte</td>
<td>0-100</td>
<td>Years since partner died</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yearscohab</td>
<td>Byte</td>
<td>0-100</td>
<td>Cohabitation + married</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maritalstatus</td>
<td>Byte</td>
<td>0,1,2,3,4</td>
<td>0=single, 1=cohab, 2=married, 3=widowed, 4=divorced</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bornyear</td>
<td>Boolean</td>
<td>Yes/no</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diedyear</td>
<td>Boolean</td>
<td>Yes/no</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gavebirth</td>
<td>Boolean</td>
<td>Yes/no</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emigrateyear</td>
<td>Boolean</td>
<td>Yes/no</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leavehome</td>
<td>Boolean</td>
<td>Yes/no</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timeindwelling</td>
<td>Byte</td>
<td>0-</td>
<td>Months since move to present dwelling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Numbermoves</td>
<td>Integer</td>
<td>0-</td>
<td>Number of previous moves</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Land</td>
<td>Pointer</td>
<td>Pointer to land (redundant)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Household</td>
<td>Household</td>
<td>Pointer</td>
<td>Pointer to household</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The household object contains the following properties/attributes:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Values</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ID</td>
<td>Longint</td>
<td></td>
<td>Identifier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>1900-2100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adults</td>
<td>Byte</td>
<td>1+-</td>
<td>Number of adults in household</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children</td>
<td>Byte</td>
<td>1+-</td>
<td>Number of children in household</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tenants</td>
<td>Byte</td>
<td>1+-</td>
<td>Number of non-family members in household</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earnings</td>
<td>Integer</td>
<td>100 SEK</td>
<td>Annual earnings from employment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dispinc</td>
<td>Integer</td>
<td>100 SEK</td>
<td>Disposable income for household</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dispincperind</td>
<td>Integer</td>
<td>100 SEK</td>
<td>Disposable income/person for household</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Region</td>
<td>LA-region</td>
<td>1-108</td>
<td>Labour market region (redundant)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dwelling</td>
<td>Home</td>
<td>Pointer</td>
<td>Pointer to home</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family</td>
<td>Boolean</td>
<td>Yes/No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The home object contains the following properties/attributes:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Values</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ID</td>
<td>Longint</td>
<td></td>
<td>Identifier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>1900-2100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Owner</td>
<td>Byte</td>
<td>Category of owner</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housetype</td>
<td>Byte</td>
<td>Type of housing, age of house</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housesize</td>
<td>Integer</td>
<td>m2</td>
<td>Size of living area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lastincome</td>
<td>Integer</td>
<td></td>
<td>Disposable income of last tenants family</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lastfamsiz</td>
<td>Byte</td>
<td></td>
<td>No. of persons in last tenants family</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occupied(t)</td>
<td>Boolean</td>
<td>Yes/no</td>
<td>If no, the dwelling “slot” is vacant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occupied(t-1)</td>
<td>Boolean</td>
<td>Yes/no</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zone</td>
<td>Neighbour</td>
<td>Pointer</td>
<td>Pointer to Neighbourhood (redundant)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Land</td>
<td>Pointer</td>
<td>Pointer to land square</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The land object contains the following properties/attributes:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Values</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Year</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>1900-2100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source</td>
<td>Byte</td>
<td>0,1,2,3</td>
<td>0=empty,1=houses,2=workplaces,3=1+2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x-coordinate</td>
<td>Longint</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y-coordinate</td>
<td>Longint</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance</td>
<td>Integer</td>
<td>Km</td>
<td>Distance to Labour Market (LA) region centre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parish</td>
<td>Integer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commune</td>
<td>Integer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County</td>
<td>Integer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zone</td>
<td>Neighbour</td>
<td>Pointer</td>
<td>Pointer to Neighbourhood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labour Market</td>
<td>LA-region</td>
<td>Pointer</td>
<td>Pointer to Labour Market (LA) region</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pollution</td>
<td>Integer</td>
<td></td>
<td>Pollution levels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landuse</td>
<td>Byte</td>
<td></td>
<td>Crude land use estimate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propertyvalue</td>
<td>Integer</td>
<td>100 SEK/m2</td>
<td>Total estimated value of land unit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landvalue</td>
<td>Integer</td>
<td>100 SEK/m2</td>
<td>Estimated land rent</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The workplace object contains the following properties/attributes:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Values</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ID</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>1900-2100</td>
<td>Identifier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year</td>
<td>Byte</td>
<td></td>
<td>Institutional sector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sector</td>
<td>Integer</td>
<td></td>
<td>Standard industrial classification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Branch</td>
<td>Integer</td>
<td>0-</td>
<td>Number of employed at workplace</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment</td>
<td>Longint</td>
<td>100 SEK</td>
<td>Total salary for employed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income</td>
<td>Integer</td>
<td>0-</td>
<td>Number of employed blue collar (0-3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bluecollar</td>
<td>Integer</td>
<td>0-</td>
<td>No. of vacancies for educ level 0-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bluevac</td>
<td>Integer</td>
<td>0-</td>
<td>No. of vacancies for educ level 4-7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whitevac</td>
<td>Integer</td>
<td>0-</td>
<td>Point to LA-region (redundant)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labour Market</td>
<td>LA-region</td>
<td>Pointer</td>
<td>Pointer to land square (static only 1994)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Land</td>
<td>Pointer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Labour Market (LA) region contains the following properties/attributes:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Values</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ID</td>
<td>Byte</td>
<td>1900-2100</td>
<td>LA-region number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td></td>
<td>Total population number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population</td>
<td>Longint</td>
<td></td>
<td>Total employed number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employed</td>
<td>Longint</td>
<td></td>
<td>Total unemployed number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unemployed</td>
<td>Longint</td>
<td></td>
<td>Total number of residents edlevel 4-7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whitecollar</td>
<td>Longint</td>
<td></td>
<td>Total number of vacancies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vacancies</td>
<td>Longint</td>
<td></td>
<td>Total earnings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earnings</td>
<td>Integer</td>
<td>km2</td>
<td>Total land area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area</td>
<td>Integer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Neighbourhood object contains the following properties/attributes:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Values</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ID</td>
<td>Byte</td>
<td>1900-2100</td>
<td>Neighbourhood zone number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td></td>
<td>Total population number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population</td>
<td>Integer</td>
<td></td>
<td>Total employed number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employed</td>
<td>Integer</td>
<td></td>
<td>Total unemployed number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unemployed</td>
<td>Integer</td>
<td></td>
<td>Total number of vacancies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vacancies</td>
<td>Integer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary school</td>
<td>Boolean</td>
<td>Yes/no</td>
<td>Number of vacant slots</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vacant slots</td>
<td>Integer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure A.1

Mortality

Find new family
This function finds a "head" of household of a family that will adopt an orphaned child.

First it tries to find a family that hasn't adopted before and lives in same LaRegion.
Second it tries to find a family that lives in same region
Third it tries just to find a family.
OBS The children are later moved to this family.

Once a year starts a function that works through the orphan queue

A function has decided if a person will die

If Person is a child

Have CHILDREN

FIND NEW FAMILY FOR CHILDREN

ORPHAN QUEUE

LOOP THROUGH THE QUEUE

If age of Children > 15

MAYBE

LEAVE HOME QUEUE

MOVE CHILDREN TO NEW FAMILY

NEXT

CHILDREN STAY IN FAMILY

KILL AND REMOVE PERSON

People in this queue will leave home.
Figure A.2

Fertility

1. **Woman and Age 15-44**
   - **Yes**
   - **Give Birth**
     - **Yes**
     - **Is Mother adult**
       - **No**
         - **CREATE A NEW FAMILY AND MOVE MOTHER**
         - **BABY IS BORN**
       - **Yes**
         - **CREATE A NEW FAMILY AND MOVE MOTHER**
         - **BABY IS BORN**
   - **No**
     - **Create a new family**
     - If mother isn't adult, a new family is created for the mother.

2. **A new person (child) is created.**
   - The child is moved to the mother.
Figure A.3

Education
Grundskola - Gymnasium

Age 7 AND
Edu level 0

Enter the education
module somewhere
else

Yes

Educationlevel 1

Completion Grundskola

No

Educationlevel 2

Enter Gymnasium
(Age 16)

Yes

Educationlevel 3

Years in Komvux
= 3

Persist

No

Yes

Educationlevel 4

Yes

Enter Komvux

Yes

Finished Grundskola

No

No

No

Educationlevel 2
Figure A.4
Education
University - Postgraduate
Figure A.5

Employment and earning

This graph describes the employment and earning module. The alignment is not described in this graph.
Figure A.6

Sambo

If Age > 15
Not MARRIED
Not SAMBO

If MALE

MALE QUEUE

FEMALE LIST

MATCHING
Once a year starts a function that loops through the malequeue. Males and females are matched into sambos.

The males properties are matched to the females properties. The female with the “best” properties is selected.

If MALE

Yes

No

If MALE = Head

Yes

No

CREATE A NEW FAMILY AND MOVE THEM TO THIS FAMILY

Move female with children to male

Move male with children to female

SELECT A WOMAN FROM LIST

IS FEMALELIST EMPTY

Yes

No

If Female list empty

Yes

No

LOOP THROUGH MALE QUEUE

NEXT
Figure A.7

Marriage

If FEMALE and COHAB

Yes

WILL MARRY

Yes

MARRY THE COUPLE

No

Calculate if the Female will marry with her sambo.
Leaving home

**LEAVEQUEUE**

All the person in this queue will be forced to move from home.

**Leave home**

Decide if a person will leave home this year.

**LOOP THROUGH THE QUEUE**

Once a year starts a function that loops through the queue

1. **CREATE NEW FAMILY**
2. **MOVE PERSON TO THIS FAMILY**
3. **NEXT**

If the person does not leave home, they are moved to the queue again.
Figure A.9

**Divorce**

MARRIED or COHAB. FEMALE and age>16

Calculate if they will separate or divorce

DIVORCE?

Yes

DIVORCE QUEUE

DIVORCE

Once a year starts a function that loops through the divorcequeue and divorce couples.

LOOP THROUGH DIVORCE QUEUE

Yes

Children and tenants stay with FEMALE

CREATE A NEW FAMILY - MOVE MALE TO THE FAMILY

CREATE A NEW FAMILY - MOVE FEMALE TO THE FAMILY

No

No

NEXT
Figure A.10

**Immigration**

1. **NUMBER OF IMMIGRANTS**
2. **LOOP FROM 0 to NumberOfImmigrants**
3. **DECIDE CHARACTERISTICS**
   - With the help of random number and probabilities decides the software the characteristics of the family.
4. **LOOP THROUGH IMMIGRATION POOL**
5. **NEXT**

- Loop through immigration pool until you find a family that have the same characteristics as the decided.
- When a family is found in the immigration pool, this family is cloned into a new family.

**IMMIGRATION POOL**

**NEW FAMILY ADDED TO MODEL**

Number of immigrants (families), proportion of immigrants multiplied with number of families.
Figure A.11

Emigration

Once a year starts a function that works through the emigration queue.

LOOP THROUGH THE QUEUE

The tenants stay in Sweden. A new family is created for each tenant.

CREATE NEW FAMILY AND MOVE TENANTS

EMIGRATE FAMILY

The head, spouse, and children emigrate from Sweden. The persons are removed from the model.

EMIGRATE TENANT

The tenant emigrates. The person is removed from the model.

Has the Head Tenants?

Yes

No

EMIGRATE TENANT

EMIGRATE FAMILY

NEXT

Tenant?

Yes

No

EMIGRATION QUEUE

Emigrate?

Yes

No

Person is 16 year Tenant or Head

Yes

No
Figure A.12

Migration

Person is Tenant or Head

Yes

Migrate?

Yes

MIGRATION QUEUE

Once a year starts a function that works through the queue

LOOP THROUGH MIGRATION QUEUE

DECIDE LAREGION

DECIDE COORDINATES

NEXT

No

For each person in the queue, functions decide to which region and coordinate they should move.
References


Lundh, Christer and Rolf Ohlsson. 1994. Från arbetskraftsimport till flyktinginvandring (From labor to refugee migration). Stockholm: SNS.


